

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,176	11/26/2003	Jae Hoon Ha	K-0577	6609
34610 KED & ASSO	7590 12/26/2007	EXAMINER		INER
KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			RIGGLEMAN, JASON PAUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/721,176	HA, JAE HOON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason P. Riggleman	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 October 2007</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	· —					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 April 2007 and 26 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the						
Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and the analysis asianos amos action for a not	or and dominate dopino not receive	· ·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom / ppiloation				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/15/2007 has been entered.

Status of Claims

Applicant's reply, filed 10/15/2007, has been received. The current pending claims are 1-21. Claims 1 and 14-15 are amended. Claim 21 is new.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/2007 regarding the art rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argues that Fumagalli does not disclose the dishwasher including a driver that determines a first position of the valve and turns the valve to a second based on the determined first position. However, this is not persuasive because "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (emphasis in original). There is no structural support in the claim language for a driver to accomplish this. Therefore, since Applicant claims a 'driver' and Fumagalli clearly discloses a driver (7), which is controlled by a controller and capable of determining position, Fumagalli anticipates the claimed invention.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/2007 regarding the 103 rejection have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the driver shown by McDonald is not capable of determining a position of the valve. However, again, this argument is not persuasive because McDonald shows the same structure (a driver as claimed by Applicant) and would therefore be capable of performing the same function especially since in order for the dishwasher to operate properly, the specific positions of the driver and valves must be known and coordinated based on the specified cycle.

Upon further review; however, the art rejections above are withdrawn in view of a 112, first paragraph, rejection – See below. Note: the previous art rejections may be applied in the event that the 112, first paragraph, rejection is overcome.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "10". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required

corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims are directed towards a hydraulic distributor valve which is driven by a motor. A "sensing mechanism" determines the position of a cam which rotates coaxially with the distributor valve. It is not

10/721,176 Art Unit: 1792

enabled how the sensor controls the motor and what controls the sensor. What is the structure of the apparatus? The invention appears to be an analog timer for the opening/closing of valves since this is the known use of camshafts in the valve art. The disclosure does not discuss turning the motor power back on or initially in the wash cycle. If the microswitch has a built in timer then what is the purpose of the lever/button which contacts the cam? What is the purpose of the length of the big depression (64b) on the cam? For instance, wouldn't a small nick suffice? How does the cam advance (relative to the lever 66c) from the position in Fig. 5B to the position in Fig. 5C if motion stops once the button 66b is decompressed?

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 10, 12-14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In regards to claim 1, it is not understood how the driver "determines a first position of the valve and causes the valve to rotate to a second position based on the determined first position." In regards to claim 3, it is not understood how a "sensor that *contacts* an outer circumference of the cam so as to control an operation of the motor". In regards to claim 4, the "required position" is not understood. In regards to claims 5, 7, 10, there is only one cam of varying radius in the disclosed invention -- not two separate cams. In regards to claims 12-13,

10/721,176 Art Unit: 1792

the terms "upper" and "lower" are relative terms and are not given patentable weight. In regards to claim 14, it is not understood how a "sensor contacts a cam coupled to the valve and that determines a current position sensed by the sensor" — the cam is contacted by the sensor the entire time. Also, in regards to claim 18, the term "current" is not understood.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason P. Riggleman whose telephone number is 571-272-5935. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/721,176

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Jason P Riggleman Examiner Art Unit 1792

JPR

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER